**Illegal Construction in Delhi**

1855. SHRI SATYA PRAKASH! MALAVIYA:
SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT he pleased to state:

(a) whether there has been enormous increase in illegal construction of residential and commercial buildings . in Delhi in the recent, past;

*(b)* if. so, how many such constructions have taken place during each of the last three years, both commercial and residential;

(c) the reasons for not checking the coming up of these buildings by the civic body staff:

(d) what action has been taken against the derelicting civie staff and to gear them up to check the coming up of such illegal constructions henceforth; and

(e) how many of the illegally constructed buildings have been demolished and now many are yet to be demolished and by when those are likely to be eliminated?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) and (b) During the last three years 11642, 15701 and 266 cases of unauthorised' constructions were defected, by Delhi" Development Authority (DDA), Municipal Corporation of Delhi "(MCD) & Delhi Cantonment Board respectively. Break up *of* these cases are as Widen—

| Year | No. of cases of unauthorised constructions detected | |
|---|---|---|
| | DDA | Delhi Cantonment Board |
| 1992-93 | 3320 | 79 |
| 1993-94 | 4286 | 76 |
| 1994-95 | 4036 | 111 |

MCD have reported as under :—

| Year | No. of cases of unauthorised constructions detected |
|---|---|
| 1992 | 6284 |
| 1993 | 5702 |
| 1994 | 3715 |

(c) and (d) Unauthorised construction is a continuing problem and its removal is also a continuous process. As and when unauthorised construction is detected, action is initiated for its removal under the relevant Laws Departmental action *is* also taken against defaulting officials where connivance or dereliction of duty is established. DDA & MCD have taken . following action against these officials:—

D.D.A.

During the .year 1993 to 1994 prpeeedings for minor and major; penalties were initiated in 15 & 6 cases respectively.

M.C.D.

489 officials have *been* awarded major penalties and 455 officials have booked for minor penalties during the years 1992 to 1994.

(e) During the last three years, the number of unauthorised constructions demolished by DDA and MGD are 13459 and 541 respectively. Delhi Cantonment Board have reported that 12 unauthorised constructions were removed by them during 1994-95.

Removal of the remaining unauthorised constructions depend upon the availability of police assistance.

**Allotment of Government Residential Accommodation**

1856. PROF. VIJAY KUMAR MALHOTRA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Government residential accommodation allotted on in turn and out-of-turn basis during the years 1994 and 1995 so far, type-wise and month-wise; and how does this compare with the preceding three years;

(b) how many of these houses allotted on out-of-turn basis were sanctioned by the Minister of Urban Development and how many by the Minister of State for Urban Development; category-wise and month-wise;

(c) the specific reasons on the basis of which the houses were allotted on out-of-turn, basis. case-wise, during 1994; and

(d) whether it is a fact that power brokers are asking huge amounts to get the out-of-turn allotment sanctioned?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) and (b) Information is being compiled and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

(c) As *per* the provisions contained in SR 317-B-25 of the Allotment of Government Residences (General Pool in Delhi), Rules 1963, Government may relax all or any of the provisions of Allotment Rules in cases of any officer or residence or class of olilcers or type of residences. Under this provision the Competent Authority sections ad-hoc allotment at his/her discretion taking into account (he service conditions and family circumstances of the officer concerned. Such allotments are generally made in one below the entilled types.

(d) No. Sir. No specific instance has been brouhgt to the notice of the Government.

**Setting up *of* a Central Authority to prevent encroachment *of* public prentices**

1857. PROF. VIJAY KUMAR MALHOTRA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether a Delhi Court in carly 1993 held that a Central Authority or department, with full-time function to reclaim the public premises in occupation of various people and to prevent further encroachment, be established;

(b) it so, whether any Central Authority or department has since been set up for the purpose, if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor; and

(c) how much land is under encroachment at present and details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a.) and (b) The Hon'ble Court of the Sub-Judge, First Class, Tis Hazari Court, in Suit No. 581/92-Bhikari Lal Vs. MCD and Laxmi Chand, had directed that the Ministry of Urban Development should constitute a Committee to examine the suggestions